



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,767	09/12/2005	William Baxendale	I-2002.016 US	5168
31846	7590	09/17/2009	EXAMINER	
Intervet/Schering-Plough Animal Health Patent Dept. K-6-1, 1990 2000 Galloping Hill Road Kenilworth, NJ 07033-0530			HURT, SHARON L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lakeisha.robinson@spcorp.com
jill.corcoran@spcorp.com
patents@spcorp.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/527,767	BAXENDALE ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SHARON HURT	1648	

All Participants:

(1) SHARON HURT.

Status of Application: After Final and Appeal Brief

(3) ____.

(2) Bill Blackstone.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2009
Time: Afternoon
Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112(1) Written Description

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called attorney Bill Blackstone and left a message on 8/24/2009 and 8/27/2009. The Examiner indicated that if claim 1 was amended to delete lines 4-6, the claims would be allowable since the deposit requirements of CAstV-2 have been met. Unfortunately, the attorney chose to not return the Examiner's call and accept the proposed allowance, resulting in the enclosed Examiner's answer.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sharon Hurt/
Examiner, Art Unit 1648

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

